A simple method to make the Wang-Landau sampling converge

Shijun Lei

Purple Mountain Observatory, 2 West Beijing Rd,

Nanjing Jiangsu, 210008 P.R. China

(Dated: June 20, 2016)

arXiv:1606.05450v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 17 Jun 2016

Abstract

We show that a histogram maintained throughout the Wang-Landau (WL) sampling for the energy entries visited during the simulation could be used to make the simulated density of states (DOS) converge. The method is easy to be implemented to the WL sampling with no extra computational cost and bears the advantages of both the WL method and the multicanonical method.

PACS numbers: 02.70.Rr,64.60.Cn,05.50.+q

Among the various simulation methods to obtain the the density of states (DOS), the WL sampling [1] has been proven both efficient and robust, triggering extensive practical applications [2–4], theoretical probing [5, 6] and further improvements [7–9]. However, the saturation of the error in the simulated DOS in WL sampling was also noted [10, 11].

A successful solution to the non convergence of the traditional WLS seems to be the $1/t$ WLS proposed by [8], who showed that the error in the improved algorithm vanishes following the $1/\sqrt{t}$ law.

We provide in this article an alternative solution to the non convergence of the WL sampling. The method simply makes use of a histogram $H_t(E)$ maintained throughout the simulation. Same as the histogram $H_f(E)$ used in WL sampling for the updating of the modification factor f when the histogram is flat enough, $H_t(E)$ is initialized to be $H_t(E) = 0, \forall E$ and is updated as $H_t(E_i) \leftarrow H_t(E_i) + 1$ for the energy entry E_i visited after a simulation move. The only difference is that $H_t(E)$ is not refreshed to zero every time f is modified, rather, it is maintained throughout the simulation. And at the end of the simulation, we simply update the DOS as $g(E) \leftarrow g(E) \times H_t(E), \forall E$.

While the new method is extremely easy to be implemented to the WL sampling with almost no extra computational cost, we show in the following that it solve the non convergence of the WL method and works as well as the simple MC method in long run.

Test for the classical 8×8 Ising lattice, we show in Fig. 1 and 2 the behavior of the errors in the DOS and the critical temperature (T_C) as a function of simulation time t for various methods and the new method is labeled as "MC WL" (see below for the naming). Similar to [1], the errors in the DOS is calculated as

$$\epsilon_S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_E \left| \frac{S_{sim}(E) - S_{exc}(E)}{S_{exc}(E)} \right| \tag{1}$$

and that in the critical temperature is

$$\epsilon_{T_C} = \left| \frac{T_C^{sim} - T_C^{exc}}{T_C^{exc}} \right| \tag{2}$$

We start the MC simulation with an exact DOS and all the other WL like ones with $S(E) = 0, \forall E$. The modification factor f is reduced according to an 80% flatness criterion of the histogram $H_t(E)$, i.e., when every energy entry has a count no less than 80% of the average. The simulation time is defined as $t = N/63$, where N is the number of simulation

moves and 63 the total energy entries for the 8×8 Ising model.

Judged by the DOS and T_C, it is clear that both the $1/t$ WL and the new method (MC WL) produce simulated results that converge to the exact value and perform as well as the simple MC method in long run.

In Fig. 3 we show the the best-fit to the histograms of T_c obtained from 50000 independent runs of simulations using MC, $1/t$ WL and MC WL method. The fitting results are also summarized in the table below.The three methods are of similar accuracy with $1/t$ WL and MC WL showing some systematic errors in T_C for a given simulation time.

Method	T_C	$\sigma(T_C)$
MC	2.36190	6.53×10^-3
$1/t$ WL	2.36141	6.58×10^-3
MC WL	2.36254	6.62×10^-3

Here test for a simple Ising model only, we believe the convergency of the new method is general. Actually, given the saturated error in the WL method and the suggestion of $1/t$ scheme of reducing f in long run, many people believe that to reduce the modification factor in a manner of $f \leftarrow \sqrt{f}$ ($\ln f \leftarrow 1/2 \ln f$) is too fast in long run. When f turns out to be too small to be affective in long run, the WL sampling eventually evolves into a simple MC one, where the DOS is update likewise using the histogram. And we thus name the new method multicanonical Wang-Landau (MC WL) method. Further studies are under going to see if the new method really bears the advantages of both methods.

---

[1] F. Wang and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2050 (2001); Phys. Rev. E **64**, 056101 (2001);

[2] T. Wust, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180**, 475 (2009).

[3] Y. W. Li, T. Wust, D.P. Landau, and H. Q. Lin, Comput. Phys. Commun. **177**, 524 (2007).

[4] T. Wust, and D. P. Landau, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 064903 (2012).

[5] C. Zhou and R. N. Bhatt, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 025701(R) (2005).

[6] C. Zhou and J. Su, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 046705 (2008).

[7] A. G. Cunha-Netto and A. A. Caparica and Shan-Ho Tsai and R. Kickman and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 055701(R) (2008).

[8] R. E. Balardinelli, and V. D. Pereyra, J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 18 (2007).



FIG. 1: Behavior of the errors in DOS ($S(E) = \ln g(E)$) as a function of simulation time t for the 8×8 Ising model using the MC (purple line), WL (green line), $1/t$ WL (blue line) and the new method labeled as "MC WL" (yellow line). Each curve is the average of 100 independent runs. See text for more details.

[9] T. Vogel, Y. W. Li, T. Wust and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 201603 (2013).

[10] Q. Yan and J. J. de Pablo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 035701 (2003).

[11] M. S. Shell et al., J. Chem. Phys. Rev. **119**, 9406 (2003).



FIG. 2: Same as Fig. 1, but for the critical temperature (T_C). See text for more details.



FIG. 3: Best-fit for the histograms of the critical temperature obtained using MC (purple line), $1/t$ WL (green line) and MC WL (blue line) simulations. Each curve correspond to 50000 independent simulation runs of 10^7 moves ($t = 1.59 \times 10^6$). The exact value ($T_c^{exc} = 2.3620$) is marked as the vertical line.